Design-Abstraction and Processes: Examples and Experiences of Academia-Industry Collaboration

Prof. Dr. Christian Schlegel

Computer Science Department
University of Applied Sciences Ulm

http://www.zafh-servicerobotik.de/ULM/index.php
http://www.hs-ulm.de/schlegel
http://smart-robotics.sourceforge.net/
Academia-Industry Collaboration

- a two-way street where
  - both stakeholders play different roles
  - there is a third player: government / public funding
  - all bring in talent, resources, differentiated perspectives
  - overall aim: create a robust whole in addressing problems / projects

- challenges: different interests due to different roles, e.g.
  - commercialization versus public access (IPR)
  - producer (academia) / consumer (industry) relationship?
  - market pull? application driven? technology driven?
  - market driven research versus pre-competitive research?
  - academia or industry in the driver's seat?
  - ...
Academia-Industry Collaboration

- **robotics**
  - is a cross-cutting discipline / interdisciplinary challenge

- **experience**
  - it is far too easy to say “details can be worked out”
  - it often is comfortable to focus on one aspect in isolation

- **interdisciplinary / integrational challenges are often neglected**
  - system architectures, system engineering tools
  - safety, security, diagnostics and monitoring
  - resource awareness, quality of service
  - *system engineering is challenging for industry and academia*
  - *industry has experience, academia extends methods*
  - *it requires industrial expertise and offers scientific challenges*
  - *often perceived as “doing” or “just implementation” but that is wrong*
  - *ignoring system level challenges looks like a gap between industrial needs and academic offerings*
A development process often applied in robotics ...
Systematic engineering processes look different ...

... also in case of software intensive systems
We need a systematic engineering approach for robotics software!

- robots are complex systems that depend on systematic engineering
- so far fundamental properties of robotic systems have not been made detailed enough nor explicit (e.g. QoS)
- tremendous code-bases (libraries, middleware, etc.) coexist without any chance of interoperability and each tool has attributes that favors its use
  
  ➔ *rely, as for every engineering endeavour, on the power of models*
  ➔ *nowadays, robotics functionality is foremost based on software*
  ➔ *make the step towards MDSD*
Approach: Design Abstraction

- separation of robotics knowledge from short-cycled implementational technologies
- providing sophisticated and optimized software structures to robotics developers not requiring them to become a software expert

how to achieve this?
- make the step from code-driven to model-driven designs
- since recently, there are matured open source tools, etc. available that can be applied in / tailored to robotics!

http://www.willowgarage.com/blog
Approach: Design Abstraction

Design space reduction: stepwise refinements from idea to deployment, design space exploration assisted by simulation, stepwise reduction of variability.

- Idea
- Meta-Model
- Transformation
- Model
- Transformation
- Components
- Transformation
- Code
- Transformation
- Real-world robot

Variability for run-time decisions by cognitive robot.
What is different in robotics?

- *not* the huge number of different sensors and actuators
- *not* the various hardware platforms
- *not* real-time requirements etc.

**but**

- the context and situation dependant reconfiguration of interactions
- a prioritized assignment of restricted resources to activities again depending on context and situation
- the tremendous complexity of design space / solution space
- deviations between design-time and run-time optimality

- analysis / requirements models are *non-computational*, MDSD models are *computational*
- *MDSD models are no “paperwork”, they are the solution which is translated into code automatically*
Example 1: Collaborative Center for Applied Research

- ZAFH Servicerobotics
- http://www.zafh-servicerobotik.de/
- collaborative center for applied research on service robotics

2008-2010 / 1.5 M€
(optional: 2011-2012 / 1 M€)
Universities of Applied Sciences
- Ulm
- Ravensburg-Weingarten
- Mannheim

- adaptive intelligent control
- verification of safety properties
- software technology
- localization / mapping
- information-optimized object recognition
- adaptive real-time image processing
Example 1: Collaborative Center for Applied Research

- **ZAFH Servicerobotics**
  - further strengthening collaboration of
    - universities of applied sciences with
    - SMEs
  - in particular, SMEs should take the opportunity of improving their competitiveness by applying research results

- **no funding of industry / SMEs in this program**
  - Landesstiftung Baden-Württemberg
    (foundation to support projects of general public benefit linked by the common aim of securing the future capabilities of the State of Baden-Württemberg)
  - co-funded by EFRE (European Regional Development Fund)
Example 1: Collaborative Center for Applied Research

- **Expectations**
  - sustainability of efforts
  - do not start from scratch again and again
  - composition out of building blocks
  - allow to focus on USPs

- **Meta-Models and Models as means to interact with industry**
  - *collaborate* at system level, interfaces, design methodologies, reference / prototype implementations of tools / components based on Meta-Models and Models *(open access)*
  - *compete* at the level of implementations (refinements of models), underneath algorithms, tailored tools *(proprietary)*
Example 2: Bilateral Academia-Industry Collaboration

Software development is too *complex* and too *expensive* because:

- there is *too little reuse*
- *technology changes* faster than developers can learn
- knowledge and practices are *hardly captured explicitly* and made available for reuse
- domain experts cannot understand all the *technology stuff* involved in software development

Overall aim:

- get rid of hand-crafted single unit service robot systems
- compose them out of standard components with explicitly stated properties
- be able to reuse / modify solutions expressed at a model level
- take advantage from the knowledge of software engineers that is encoded in the code transformators
- be able to verify properties (or at least provide conformance checks)

Lessons learned:

- *again, Meta-Models and Models as means to interact and share knowledge between industry and academia without requiring to use the same implementations while still sharing the same overall system architectures etc.!!*
Example 3: SME

- they know about their domain and they are unmatched experts in their domain
- need to make the next step of automation of their products in their domain
  - next step of automation is being expected as evolution
  - they do not want to become a robotics expert
  - however, this step often is a revolution
    - high risks
    - not clear when additional sensors etc. pay off due to multi-use

**Example: Dung Removal Systems**
- jump onto a design process which allows to compose robotics components from third-parties and just provide (even sell) those specialized components to others that comprise special domain / application know-how
- *again, basis for this is a model-based software development process*
Design Abstraction and Processes

- provide engineering methodology for robotics
  - bridging the gap between academia & industry
  - decouple system knowledge from implementational technologies
- provide the ground for processes for the overall lifecycle
  - development
  - simulation
  - testing
  - deployment
  - maintenance
- collaborate at the meta-models, transformations etc. and compete at their implementation
- provide freedom to choose whatever implementational technology is most adequate in target domain
- provides the ground for a component market: models, interoperability, services [in particular, SMEs: value-adding expertise can be made available by custom-models]
Design Abstraction and Processes

- **Design Abstraction**
  - integration / system engineering as science
  - interdisciplinary collaboration
  - training and education, workshops, summer schools

- **SMEs**
  - simpler involvement
  - small bilateral projects attached to large scale projects
  - roof under which even bilateral and short running activities are possible

- **Robotics Test Sites**
  - equipped with state-of-the-art robotic systems and equipment
  - maintained by technicians and operators
  - simulators / remote access for experiment preparation
  - places to collaborate and exchange components
  - accessible by different stakeholders

- **in general**
  - depreciation problem
  - sustainability after project duration (maintenance, expandable repositories)

- **shared design methodology / open reference implementations**
  - competition at the level of tools, models etc.
How MDSD works

- Developer develops model(s) based on certain metamodel(s), expressed using a DSL
- Using code generation templates, the model is transformed to executable code
  - alternative: interpretation
- Optionally, the generated code is merged with manually written code
- One or more model-to-model transformation steps may precede code generation

http://www.voelter.de/services/mdsd.html
Benefits of this development process:

- systematically handle integration of systems of the complexity of service robots and to overcome plumbing
- tools like OpenArchitectureWare, Eclipse etc. are matured enough to be used in robotics
- there are many experienced people out there being already familiar with the tools, can start immediately using them and can just focus on robotics
- design patterns, best practices, approved solutions can be made available within the code generators such that even novices can immediately take advantage from that coded and immense experience
- SmartSoft provides the perfect granularity for system design, component development, composable, freedom within components, tool support etc.
Illustration of our development process
- UML 2.0 profile for robotics component model
- covers component development, system composition, deployment
- based on standards: UML 2.0, Open Architecture Ware, Eclipse, etc.
- different runtime platforms, middleware systems etc.
Model Driven Software Development
SmartMDSM

PIM

SmartMARS – Metamodel
(Modeling and Analysis of Robotics Systems)

- UML2-Profile
- Platform independent stereotypes
  - SmartComponent
  - SmartTask
  - SmartMutex
  - SmartQueryServer
  - SmartEventClient
  - ...

PSM

- UML2-Profile
- Platform specific stereotypes
  - Any other middleware

PSI

- UML2-Profile
- Platform specific stereotypes
  - Any other middleware

The user space can contain arbitrary code and libraries.
The user space remains the same independent of the different platform specific models.
Just the component hull will be created.
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Model Driven Software Development
Examples etc.